UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,375	07/28/2003	Fang Hao	Hao 1-2-4 (LCNT/125103)	6538
46363 WALL & TON	7590 09/29/200 G. LLP/	EXAMINER		
ALCATEL-LUCENT USA INC.			WILSON, ROBERT W	
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/629,375	HAO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ROBERT W. WILSON	2419		

	ROBERT W. WILSON	2419	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	е
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	ıs
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
		21 m - 1 h - 1 m - 1 h - 1 m - 1	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			;
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,7-9,13,25 and 29</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,10-12 and 15-82</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Robert W Wilson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2419	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examiner provided applicant with claim objection associated with incorporation of dependent claims and intervening claims. The applicant created new independent claims which did not comprise the previously objected and intervene claims but created new amended independent claims 1, 4, 7, 17, 24, 26, & 27; thus, creating new issues which require an additional search before a determination of allowance can be made. Additionally the applicant has provided new claims 17-22 which are directed to an apparatus. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's argument that they have traversed the 101 rejection relative a system comprising of means wherein applicant specification specifically states on Pg 10 lines 11 to 20 that software is an equivalent substitution. Applicant has argued that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that physical structure will perform the recited function. The examiner agrees that one of ordinary skill in the art would substitute software as recited means for because applicant's specification has clearly indicated that software is an equivalent.